The Role of Low-Dose Radiation in the Maintenance of Life Bobby R. Scott

> Lovelace Respiratory Research Institute Albuquerque, NM 87108 USA In-house Seminar, September 23, 2006

> > http://www.radiation-scott.org

# Contents

- Ionizing radiation and its sources
- Spontaneous and radiation-induced damage
- Radiation adaptive response (hormesis)
- Biological basis for radiation hormesis
- Radiation risk-assessment paradigms (LNT vs. hormetic) for cancer
- LRRI hormetic cancer relative risk model
- Evidence that low doses of radiation are facilitating the maintenance of life
- Conclusions

# Radiation Has Existed Since the Beginning of the Universe

Universe created 10 - 20 billion years ago from a cosmic explosion





# Forms of Radiation

- Non-ionizing and lonizing.
- An example of non-ionizing radiation is UV rays.
- Ionizing radiation is either particulate or electromagnetic.
- Gamma and X-ray photons are electromagnetic forms.
- Protons, beta particles, neutrons and heavy ions are examples of particulate ionizing radiation.

# **Low- and High-LET Forms**

- LET (linear energy transfer) is the average energy lost by radiation when traversing a small thickness of material.
- Two types (low-LET and high-LET) are considered.
- Examples of low-LET radiation are X-ray photons, gamma-ray photons, beta particles (energetic electrons), and protons.
- Examples of high-LET radiation are alpha particles, neutrons, and heavy ions (e.g., 10-MeV carbon ions from an accelerator).

### Individual Particle Tracks in Water



On average, ~ 30 reactive oxygen species (ROS) are produced per absorbed keV

### Feinendegen L, IHS 2006

# **Some Typical Radiation Doses**

- Typical chest X-ray, 0.1 mGy (low-LET radiation).
- Average annual low-LET dose, worldwide, about 1 mGy.
- Annual DOE/NRC individual low-LET dose limit for public, 1 mGy (1 mSv for mixed low- and high-LET radiations).
- Annual EPA low-LET dose limit for releases to air, 0.1 mGy (0.1 mSv for mixed low- and high-LET radiations).
- Annual EPA low-LET dose limit for drinking water, 0.04 mGy (0.04 mSv for mixed low- and high-LET radiations)

Unacknowledged problems with mSv dose concept discussed later.

# Adverse Consequences of Exposure of Humans to Radiation

- Low and high radiation doses can cause stochastic effects such as cancer and genetic effects.
- High doses and dose rates can cause lifethreatening effects such as severe damage to organs as well as serious morbidity.
- Damage to DNA above the spontaneous level is largely responsible for most detrimental radiobiological effects.

# **DNA Double Strand Breaks**

- Considered most serious form of radiationinduced genomic damage.
- Are largely repaired via error-prone nonhomologous end joining.
- May occasionally be repaired via less error-prone homologous recombination.
- Damage threshold appears to be required to activate double-strand-break repair pathways.
- Natural background radiation may be important for maintaining the damage threshold.
- Misrepair can lead to genomically unstable mutant and neoplastic transformed cells.

**Does Low Dose Radiation Play and Important Role in Maintaining Life?** 

- Natural background low-LET radiation probably does.
- How? Via activating adapted protection (hormesis)!

# Hormesis (Adapted Protection)

- Survival of all organisms on Earth depends upon their ability to adapt to environmental and other stresses.
- Numerous genes evolved over time to mediate adaptive responses to both internal and external genotoxic stresses (e.g., genes involved in stabilization and in post-translational regulation of the p53 protein).
- Hormesis: low-dose-induced adapted protection; high-dose inhibition.

Proteins Likely Involved in Radiation-Induced Adapted Protection

- The tumor suppressor protein p53 and BAX.
- Stress-response proteins involved in transiently stabilizing p53.
- Proteins (e.g., ATM) involved in posttranslational modifications of p53.

Appella E and Anderson CW. Eur. J. Biochem. 268:2764-2772, FEBS 2001

# Radiation Adaptive Response is and Evolutionary Conserved Response

## Occurs in:

- Single cell organisms
- Insects
- Plants
- Lower vertebrates
- Mammalian cells
- Mammals including humans

# Mitchel REJ (2006 IHS Meeting presentation)



# **Utopian-World Dose Units**

The following are fantasy-world dose units, based on hypothetical straight-line cancer risk vs. dose relationships, irrespective of the dose, type of radiation, or radiation combinations:

- Sievert (Sv)
- mSv
- µ**Sv**



# BEIR VII vs. French Academies on LNT and Radiation Hormesis

### **BEIR VII**

### **French Academies**

| Selectively-chosen A-<br>bomb cancer data was<br>consistent with LNT | LNT should not be applied to<br>low-LET doses < 100 mGy                        |
|--|--|
| Even natural<br>background low-LET<br>radiation harms                | No evidence of harm from<br>natural background<br>radiation; may be beneficial |
| Radiation hormesis<br>dismissed                                      | Radiation hormesis not dismissed   |
| Looked at basic research results and ignored                         | Considered implications of<br>basic research results                           |

## Low Doses and Dose-Rates of Low-LET Radiation Protect Us From Harm: Hormesis

- Protect against chromosomal damage (Ed Azzam's group)!
- Protect against mutation induction (Pam Sykes' group), even when the low dose follows a large dose (Tanya Day's work)!
- Protect against neoplastic transformation (Les Redpath's group)!
- Protect against high dose chemical- and radiation-induced cancer (Kazou Sakai's group)!
- Stimulate increased immune system defense (Daila Gridley's group)!
- Suppress cancer induction by alpha radiation (Chuck Sanders group)!
- Suppress metastasis of existing cancer (Kiyohiko Sakamoto's group)!
- Extend tumor latent period (Ron Mitchel's group)!
- Protect against diseases other than cancer (Kazuo Sakai's group)!





**ROS scavenging contributes to protection** 

### Low-Dose (Low-LET) Induced Adaptive Protection scheme of durations of protection (t<sub>n</sub>)



### Feinendegen L, IHS 2006

### Protective Apoptosis Medicated (PAM) Process in Fibroblast: Protective Intercellular Signaling



G. Bauer. Histol. Histopathol. 11:237-255, 1996

# **PAM Process Signaling**

- Can eliminate genomically unstable cells caused by different agents.
- May vary for different stressing agent (e.g., ionizing radiation, UV radiation, chemical, etc.).
- May differ for different organs/tissue.
- Role of p53 protein (if any) not clear.
- TGF- $\beta$  appears to play and important role.

# **Types of Radiation Hormesis**

- Environmental radiation hormesis
- Medical radiation hormesis
- Therapeutic radiation hormesis
- Occupational-Exposure-Associated Radiation Hormesis

# **Environmental Radiation Hormesis**

Natural and human-activityrelated background radiation induced hormetic effects have been found to be associated with the suppression of spontaneous cancers and other diseases.



# LRRI Cancer Hormetic Relative Risk (HRR) Model

- Key Assumption: cancer arises from cells with persistent genomic instability through a series of stochastic changes, independent of how the instability originate, but dependent on the number of cells with this instability in an organ.
- Cancer relative risk (*RR*) proportional to neoplastic transformation *RR*.
- Neoplastic transformation *RR* based on NEOTRANS<sub>3</sub> model developed at LRRI.
- Protective and deleterious stochastic dose thresholds cause hormetic dose-response curve shape.

# **Stochastic Thresholds**

- Each of us has a different radiation threshold (organ specific) for activating protective processes.
- Each also has a different higher threshold for inhibiting some of the protection.
- Such thresholds are called stochastic thresholds (StoThresh) and are characterized by distributions rather than a fixed value for everyone.
- Uniform distributions are currently presumed for StoThresh.

### **LRRI Hormetic Relative Risk (HRR) Model**



Some Expected Benefits of Radiation Doses in the Zone of Maximal Protection (Hormetic Zone)

- Suppression of spontaneous and other cancers (i.e., *RR* < 1, *SMR* < 1).</li>
- Suppression of cancer metastasis.
- Suppression of other genomicinstability associated diseases.
- Suppression of inflammation caused by other agents.

# **PROFAC**, A Measure of Hormetic Effects

- **PROFAC** stands for protection factor.
- Mutation and neoplastic transformation PROFAC: fraction of mutant or transformed cells eliminated via hormesis (adapted protection).
- Cancer suppression PROFAC: fraction of cases that do not occur that would have occurred if it were not for induced hormesis.

# Protection Factors Against Cancer in Humans<sup>1</sup>

| Region or Group                     | Effect         | PROFAC            |  |
|-------------------------------------|----------------|-------------------|--|
| High residual radon, USA            | all<br>cancers | 0.35              |  |
| Canada, nuclear industry<br>workers | Leukemia       | 0.68              |  |
| US DOE labs workers                 | Leukemia       | 0.78              |  |
| Mayak Plutonium facility workers    | lung<br>cancer | 0.86 <sup>2</sup> |  |

**Proportion of spontaneous and other cancers prevented!** 

<sup>1</sup>Jaworowski Z. Symposium "Entwicklungen im Strahleschutz", Munich, 29 November 2001. <sup>2</sup>Scott BR. Nonlinearity (in press), 2006a.

## Medical Radiation Hormesis: Breast Cancer Suppression by Diagnostic X-Rays



Based on data from Nyström et al. 2002

# **PROFACs for Nuclear Shipyard Workers Chronically Exposed to** γ Rays

| Cause of Death                 | SMR         | <i>p</i> value          | PROFAC |
|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------------------|--------|
| Allergic, endocrine, metabolic | 0.69 ± 0.12 | 4.3 x 10 <sup>-3</sup>  | 0.31   |
| All respiratory disease        | 0.62 ± 0.08 | 1.4 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>  | 0.38   |
| Pneumonia                      | 0.68 ± 0.04 | 2.4 x 10 <sup>-14</sup> | 0.32   |
| Emphysema                      | 0.63 ± 0.26 | 7.2 x 10 <sup>-2</sup>  | 0.38   |
| Asthma                         | 0.30 ± 0.43 | 5.1 x 10 <sup>-2</sup>  | 0.70   |
| All infectious & parasitic     | 0.86 ± 0.72 | 4.2 x 10 <sup>-1</sup>  | 0.14   |
| Total mortality                | 0.78 ± 0.04 | 0.00                    | 0.22   |

Sponsler R and Cameron JR. Int. J. Low Radiat. 1(4):463-478, 2005.

# Cancer Relative Risk In Hormetic Zone: Irradiated Human Populations



RR< 0.85 cannot be due to healthy worker effect (Sponsler and Cameron, 2005)

RR

## **Environmental Radiation Hormesis**



Wei and Sugahara. Int. Congress Series 1236:91-99 (2002)

### Lung Cancer in Mice with High Spontaneous Frequency



Study involved more than 15,000 mice (R. Ulrich et al., 1976). Curve shape currently thought to be representative of adult humans with significant spontaneous genomic instability burdens.



Data from GR Howe. Radiat. Res. 142:295-304,1995. Similar findings have been reported for breast cancer (Miller. N. Engl. J. Med. 321:1285-1289, 1989)

### Suppression of Spontaneous Lung Cancer in Mayak Plutonium Facility Workers



Data corrected for influence of alpha radiation (B. Scott, 2006).

## Low-Dose-Rate Gamma Rays Protect from Alpha-Radiation-Induced Lung Cancer



#### C. L. Sanders, International Hormesis Conference, 2006

## Low-Dose Gamma Rays Protected Trp53 Heterozygous Mice from Lymphomas



Mitchel et al. (2003); *low-dose gamma rays increased latency* 

## Low Rate Gamma Irradiation Suppressed MC-Induced Skin Tumors in Mice



K. Sakai, International Hormesis Conference 2005

### Prolongation of Life Span of db/db Mice by Low Dose Rate Irradiation



### Appearance of *db/db* Mice at 90 Weeks of Age

### Irradiated



### Non-Irradiated



Sakai K, IHS 2006

# **Therapeutic Radiation Hormesis**

- Cancer cells are resistant to undergoing apoptosis.
- New research is demonstrating ways of sensitizing cancer cells to undergo apoptosis (e.g., resveratrol).
- Applying low-dose, low-LET radiation (in the hormetic zone) alone or in combination with apoptosis sensitizing agents that target tumor cells can lead to curing cancer.
- Adding multiple small doses of antiangiogenic drugs may enhance efficacy of treatment.

## **Resveratrol**

- Trans-3,5,4'-trihydroxystilbene (resveratrol) is found in grapes, berries, peanuts, and other plants.
- Resvertrol sensitizes cancer cells to undergoing apoptosis and suppresses proliferation of a wide variety of tumor cells (e.g., lymphoid and myeloid cancers; multiple myeloma; cancers of the breast, prostate, stomach, colon, pancreas, and thyroid)

Aggarwal BB et al. (Anticancer Res. 24, 2004)

# Conclusions

- The LNT risk assessment paradigm is appropriate (for accurate risk assessment) only for a utopian world!
- Dose units such as Sv, mSv, and µSv belong in the indicated utopian world, not in our world! Their useful life for our world has expired.
- Radiation hormesis (adapted protection) is real and has a biological basis for effects such cancer.
- Natural background low-LET radiation protects us from cancer and other diseases via induced adapted protection. Doses just above background provide added protection.
- The gamma-ray component of radon exposure in our homes is likely protecting us from cancer and other genomic-instability-associated diseases.

# **Conclusions (continued)**

- Lung cancer in heavy smokers might be prevented via regular diagnostic chest X rays (low doses) to repeatedly activate transient adapted protection.
- The level of adapted protection is expected to increase as the number of genomically unstable cells in the body increase.
- The level of adapted protection appears to increase with age and children may not benefit from such protection except in circumstances where they possess significant numbers of genomically unstable cells.
- Combined low-dose radiation + drug (apoptosis sensitizing; antiangiogenic) therapy for cancer may save many lives while avoiding severe side effects. Multiple small doses would be applied at appropriate intervals.

# **Future Research**

- New AFOSR grant expected to be prepared: relates to protecting military personnel from cancer induction after exposure to high-dose radiation or genotoxic chemicals.
- Planned NCI follow-on grant: cancer prevention research in soon-to-come new program.
- Hope to venture into low-dose cancer therapy research in near future.

# Recent LRRI Presentations on our Website (www.radiation-scott.org)

- Stochastic thresholds and nonlinearity (IHS 2005 Plenary).
- The LNT hypothesis may have outlived it usefulness for low-LET radiation (PSA/ANS 05 Plenary; LANL 2005).
- Hormesis implications for managing radiological terrorism events (NIAID 2006; Rio Grande Chapter HPS 2006).
- Medical and therapeutic radiation hormesis: Preventing and curing cancer (IHS 2006).
- Expected benefits from diagnostic imaging radiation: Suppression of cancer (Diagnostic Imaging Conference, 2006)[to be added].
- A cancer prevention perspective to radiation risk assessment (NCI Radiation Carcinogenesis Workshop, 2006)[to be added].
- Low-dose/dose rate low-LET radiation protects us from cancer (DOE Low Dose Program Workshop, 2006).

# Upcoming Publications that May be of Interest to the General Public

- Scott BR. Natural Background Radiation-Induced Apoptosis and the Maintenance of Mammalian Life on Earth. Chapter I in: *New Cell Apoptosis Research*, L. C. Vinter (Editor), Nova Science Publishers, Inc.
- Scott BR. Radiation Hormesis and the Control of Genomic Instability. Chapter VI in: New Research on Genomic Instability, Eleanor Glascow (Editor), Nova Science Publishers, Inc.
- Raloff J (Senior Editor). Science News articles on hormesis which will feature some our work.

# **Collaborators and Student Participants**

- Scientists: Pam Sykes, Tanya Day, Les Redpath, Chuck Sanders, Zoya Tokarskaya, Galina Zhuntova, Ed Calabrese, Others
- Students: Jenni Di Palma, Munima Haque

# Acknowledgement

The research was supported by the Offices of Science (BER) and Environmental Management, U.S. Department of Energy, Grant Numbers DE-FG02-ER63671 and DE-FG02-03ER63657.